

From the Stars to the One: Commentary on Qur'an 6:76–79

Abstract

This paper offers an extended commentary on Qur'an 6:76–79, the passage recounting the Prophet Abraham's symbolic search for God through the observation of a star, the moon, and the sun. We explore the psychological, philosophical, and theological significance of Abraham's journey from a tentative, less mature grasp of monotheism to an enlightened and unwavering monotheistic faith. Drawing on classical exegesis (tafsīr) — including al-Ṭabarī, Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī, and Ibn Kathīr — we provide a close reading of each verse, noting how early scholars understood Abraham's words and tactics. Insights from contemporary scholars such as Seyyed Hossein Nasr, Muhammad Asad, Mohammed Arkoun, and Tarif Khalidi are integrated to show how modern perspectives resonate with or reinterpret this story. In addition, we discuss the stages of spiritual development reflected in the text, the role of doubt and sensory experience in the pursuit of certainty, and the implications for understanding tawḥīd (the oneness of God) in degrees of realization. An epilogue considers the enduring lessons of this passage for modern believers, suggesting that Abraham's quest serves as a timeless model for the individual spiritual journey to God.

Introduction

Surah 6 ("Al-An'ām," The Cattle) of the Qur'an includes a vivid account of Abraham's confrontation with his people's celestial worship and his discovery of the one true God. Verses 75–79 set the scene: God had granted Abraham a visionary insight into the "realms of the heavens and the earth" so that he might attain firm certainty of faith ¹. Against that backdrop, verses 76–79 narrate how Abraham, upon observing a star, the moon, and the sun in succession, pronounces "This is my Lord" only to reject each one when it fades. He then declares his dissociation from all forms of idolatry and turns wholeheartedly to the Creator of the heavens and earth. This dramatic narrative has long invited interpretation on multiple levels – as a record of Abraham's **da'wah** (prophetic preaching strategy), as an allegory of the soul's journey from confusion to certainty, and as a rational argument against assigning divinity to any finite being. In the following sections, we examine each verse closely through the lens of classical tafsīr, then delve into the psychological maturation, philosophical reflections, and theological lessons contained in the passage. We aim to blend scholarly depth with accessible insight, showing how this ancient story speaks to the inner development of faith and understanding in every era.

Close Reading of Qur'an 6:76–79 with Classical Tafsīr

Verse 6:76 – The Star and the First Insight

"So when the night grew dark upon him, he saw a star and said, 'This is my Lord.' But when it set, he said, 'I do not love the things that set (vanish).'" In this first stage of the story, Abraham turns his gaze to a bright star (some commentators specify it was Venus ²) as night falls. According to classical

commentators, this episode should be understood in light of Abraham's context: he was raised among a people who worshipped celestial bodies and idols. Ibn Kathīr relates that the Chaldeans of Babylon venerated the seven visible "planets" – particularly the sun, moon, and Venus – believing them to govern worldly affairs ³. The young Abraham, determined to guide his people away from error, engages them on their own terms.

Most classical exegetes reject the notion that Abraham literally believed the star to be his Lord even momentarily. Rather, they interpret his exclamation "**hādhā rabbī**" ("This is my Lord") as a strategic or rhetorical device ⁴. On one view, Abraham was *dramatically demonstrating* the falsity of star-worship to his people: he pretended, for the sake of argument, to accept the star as divine, knowing that its setting would prove its impermanence ⁴. Indeed, when the star disappeared, Abraham's rebuttal was ready – "I do not love those that disappear." In other words, "a transient, vanishing thing cannot be worthy of my devotion." Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī and other scholars emphasize the logical thrust of this argument: anything subject to decline and change is by definition not absolute, not God ⁵. A true deity would not be subject to **afil** (setting or fading away). This line of reasoning shows Abraham appealing to common sense and the "fitra" (innate intuition) of his people – inviting them to realize that what rises and sets is part of creation, not the Creator.

Some classical scholars did consider the possibility – at least hypothetically – that Abraham at a youthful stage *sought* for God in this manner. Al-Ṭabarī and al-Baghawī record an early opinion that "Abraham was seeking guidance" sincerely until God guided him aright, and that this occurred in his childhood before he became a prophet ⁶. According to this view, Abraham's temporary identification of the star as "Lord" was part of his personal journey of discovery and incurred no sin, since it preceded divine guidance or prophetic responsibility ⁷. However, the majority rejected this scenario, holding it inconceivable that a prophet protected by God would ever actually worship a celestial body even briefly ⁸. They point out that the Qur'an describes Abraham as having already received right guidance (شُدُّوا) from an early age (cf. Qur'an 21:51) and as possessing a "sound heart" (Qur'an 37:84) ⁸. Immediately prior to this story, verse 6:75 notes that God showed Abraham the wonders of the heavens and earth "*so that he might be among those with sure faith*". Given that context, many mufasssīrūn prefer to read Abraham's words to the star as either **ironic**, **interrogative**, or **demonstrative** rather than literal ⁹ ¹⁰. Some translate his phrase as, "*Could this be my Lord?*", indicating a question or hypothetical, not a firm belief ⁹. In a similar vein, one classical gloss suggests an implicit addition: "*(Addressing his people,) he said, 'This is my Lord' – meaning 'this is what you claim to be my Lord'*" ¹¹. Any of these readings absolve Abraham of personally falling into shirk, and instead present him as a teacher using the star as a starting point for debate.

When the star vanished from sight, Abraham's simple declaration – "I do not love those that set" – delivered a profound lesson. **Al-afilīn** ("those that set") became a byword for all transient things unworthy of ultimate love. Later Muslim thinkers saw in this a universal principle of discernment. Imām al-Ghazālī, for example, echoed Abraham's statement in advising detachment from worldly illusions: "*Such things are transitory, and no discerning person is pleased with things that fade.*" ¹² To **love** (in the sense of worshipful attachment) only what is enduring and self-subsistent is a cornerstone of true monotheism. Thus, the first stage of the narrative establishes the criteria for divinity in Abraham's reasoning: a true Lord must neither depend on anything nor be subject to disappearance ¹³ ¹⁴.

Verse 6:77 – The Moon and Reliance on Guidance

“Then when he saw the moon rising, he said, ‘This is my Lord.’ But when it set, he said, ‘Unless my Lord guides me, I will surely be among the people gone astray.’” The drama intensifies as Abraham next turns to the moon, a larger and gentler light in the night sky. He repeats the declaration “This is my Lord” – and as before, classical commentators largely view this as part of his argumentative method, not a lapse in faith. He “beamed [his words] at his people” again, going along with their reverence for the moon to make a point on their terms ¹⁵ ¹⁶. If the moon was considered a powerful deity by his people, Abraham allows that premise momentarily: the moon could *appear* greater than the star. Yet it too succumbs to the fate of setting.

Notably, when the moon disappears, Abraham adds a new remark: *“If my Lord does not guide me, I will surely become one of the misguided (qawm ḍāllīn).”* In classical tafsīr, this statement is understood as a further clarification to his people – and a humble acknowledgement of divine guidance. Abraham implies that *had God not guided him already*, he might have gone astray by worshipping the moon just as his people do ¹⁶. In other words, *“Without the guidance my Lord has given me, I too might have taken this fading moon as my lord.”* This emphasizes that his avoidance of these errors is thanks to God’s grace, not personal cleverness alone ¹⁷. Theologically, it also teaches that reason and observation, while important, are ultimately aided by divine guidance in reaching truth. Abraham models intellectual humility: even as he refutes false gods, he attributes right guidance to God.

Ibn Kathīr remarks that by mentioning guidance here, Abraham subtly indicated to his people that his **Rabb** (Lord) is someone other than these celestial bodies – a Lord who was guiding him throughout this demonstration ¹⁶. Abraham has not yet named that one true Lord in the dialogue, but he distinguishes “my Lord” from the moon: *“Were it not that my Lord is guiding me, I might be among the erring.”* The implication is that his real Lord is an unseen guide, different in kind from the luminous object they behold. This unseen Lord will soon be identified explicitly in verse 79, but already Abraham prepares his audience to accept that the true God may not be one of the visible phenomena they habitually adore ¹⁷.

Another nuance in this verse is the psychological insight it provides into Abraham’s own development (or at least the *portrayal* of development for pedagogical purposes). If the star represented a first youthful inclination that was swiftly corrected, the sight of the majestic full moon might symbolize a stronger temptation – a “greater” false concept of God that still must be overcome. Some commentators mused that these scenes could correspond to phases of Abraham’s early life: perhaps as a child he was impressed by a twinkling star, later by the serene moon, until his intellect matured beyond these fascinations ⁶. While speculative, this idea resonates with the way a human being’s understanding of the divine can evolve from simplistic to more sophisticated notions. In any case, by the end of verse 77 Abraham has openly acknowledged the need for **hidāyah** (guidance). This foreshadows the Qur’anic teaching that true guidance comes from God alone; left to our natural faculties without illumination, we could mistake even beautiful created lights for the Ultimate Light.

Verse 6:78 – The Sun and the Final Renunciation

“Then when he saw the sun rising, he said, ‘This is my Lord; this is greater!’ But when it set, he said, ‘O my people, indeed I am free from what you associate (with God).’” As dawn breaks, Abraham beholds the rising sun – the most brilliant and powerful of the three heavenly bodies. “This is my Lord, this is **akbar** (greater)!” he exclaims, explicitly noting the sun’s supremacy in size or splendor. Here Abraham is escalating

the demonstration: if anything were to qualify as a *god* based on glory in the sky, surely it would be the sun, “the biggest and brightest” as some translators put it ¹⁸ . In fact, Ibn Kathīr writes that the sun was considered the highest deity by Abraham’s people, ranking above the moon and Venus in their astral hierarchy ³ . Abraham’s choice to address the sun last cleverly follows the progression of his people’s own values – from lesser lights to the greatest.

Once the sun too completes its course and sets, the illusion of divinity is shattered. Abraham’s tone now shifts from posing and questioning to an outright declaration of **barā’a** – disavowal. “**Yā qawmi innī barī’un mimma tushrikūn,**” he proclaims: “O my people, I am indeed free from (or innocent of) what you associate [with God]!” This is the moment of conclusion. Having led his audience through the failure of each candidate for Lordship, Abraham makes it unequivocally clear that none of them is God and he has nothing to do with their worship of these things. According to Ibn Kathīr, once Abraham **proved** that even “the brightest objects the eyes can see” are not gods, he turned to his people and renounced their idolatry in full ¹⁹ . He would worship none of these creations; his heart was set on something far beyond.

It is worth noting Abraham’s use of the word **tushrikūn** (“you associate”). He directly addresses the **shirk** (association of partners with God) practiced by his people – a theological term that covers idol-worship in all forms. By saying “*that which you associate [with Allah],*” he implies that these celestial bodies were falsely being made *partners* to God’s divinity. Classical commentators see in this phrasing a teaching that any time one attributes divine qualities to a finite thing, one is committing shirk ²⁰ . Abraham’s declaration “*I am free of all that you associate with God*” thus has a timeless ring – it is the cry of pure monotheism breaking free from every form of cosmic or earthly idol. In Abraham’s context, it meant renouncing the astral deities and idols of his culture ²⁰ ; but the principle extends to rejecting every false absolute. As Muhammad Asad translates this verse, “*O my people! Behold, far be it from me to ascribe divinity, as you do, to aught beside God!*” ²¹ , capturing how Abraham distances himself from his people’s practice.

Classical tafsīr adds that at this point, Abraham’s argument had effectively silenced any reasonable rebuttal from his people. By using their own logic (that the biggest, brightest objects must be divine) and showing its fallacy (since the sun itself sets and is governed by a higher order), he brought them face to face with the notion of a single unseen Creator. Al-Rāzī notes that Abraham selected the *setting* of these bodies as the focus because it was a clear sign of their **dependence and subordination** to something else ²² ²³ . The sun’s setting in particular dramatically demonstrated that even the greatest visible power is under the control of a higher Power. Thus Abraham’s conclusion was not simply an emotional renunciation but a rational *syllogism*: whatever is subject to cycles and disappearance cannot be God; the star, moon, and sun are all subject to these; therefore none of them is God. **Only** the One who ordained their motions and limits – the One who never fades – can be God. In later Islamic parlance, Abraham had formulated a *burhān* (proof) for tawhīd using empirical observation and reason. The Qur’an itself highlights this by saying in verse 83 (just after our passage) “*That was Our argument which We gave to Abraham against his people...*”, indicating that God inspired Abraham with this line of reasoning as a conclusive proof ²⁴ ²⁵ .

Verse 6:79 – Turning to the Creator as a Ḥanīf

“**Indeed, I have turned my face toward Him who fāṭara (brought into being) the heavens and the earth, ḥanīfan, and I am not one of the polytheists.**” In this climactic verse, Abraham proclaims the positive truth that replaces the false beliefs he has negated. He declares his total orientation toward the one Creator of the universe. The verb **wajjaha** (to turn one’s face) conveys a sense of deliberate, directed commitment. Abraham is effectively saying: *I set my whole being (face) toward the Originator (fāṭir) of the*

heavens and earth. The term **fāṭir** implies creation ex nihilo – a bringing forth of the cosmos from nothingness – emphasizing God’s role as the ultimate source of all that exists ²⁶ ²⁷ . By using this name for God, Abraham identifies his Lord explicitly as *the Maker of the star, moon, and sun* (and everything else). This contrasts starkly with the impotent idols and celestial objects his people worshipped. The **Lord** he has been alluding to all along is none other than the transcendent Creator of the universe, who alone deserves worship.

Abraham describes himself as **ḥanīf**, an important Qur’anic term. **Ḥanīf** means a person of pure monotheistic faith, one who has *turned away* from all shirk and inclines exclusively toward God. In Abraham’s context, to be a ḥanīf meant to reject the idolatry of his nation and follow the primordial religion of devotion to one God. The Quran elsewhere calls Abraham “*ḥanīfan musliman*” – a pure monotheist in willing submission to God (e.g. Qur’an 3:67). Here, by calling himself ḥanīf, Abraham underlines that his turning to God is sincere, wholehearted, and exclusive. He then reinforces it with an explicit disavowal: “*I am not of the polytheists (mushrikīn)*.” This mirrors the phrasing in his earlier statement in verse 78, but now in first-person singular – it’s his personal creed. The double emphasis (ḥanīf, *and* not a polytheist) serves as a powerful conclusion to the episode. Abraham has completed a journey from identifying a “lord” in the star, moon, and sun, to identifying **his Lord** as something wholly other – and in doing so, he has defined his identity in opposition to his society’s dominant error.

Classical commentators often remark on the elegance and decisiveness of Abraham’s final testimony. In a few words, he encapsulated **tawḥīd** – God’s oneness – and his own submission to that truth ²⁷ . Al-Ṭabarī notes that Abraham not only professes belief in the one Creator here, but also formally *dissociates* from his people’s shirk, which is a necessary step in affirming pure monotheism. The sequence “I turn my face to the Creator... and I am not of the idolaters” indicates that true faith entails a negation (of false gods) and an affirmation (of the true God). This formula (sometimes summarized as **la ilaha illa’Llah** – “there is no god [worthy of worship] except God”) is exemplified in Abraham’s words and later becomes the bedrock of the Islamic testimony of faith.

Modern scholars have observed that Abraham’s journey as told in these verses is not only theological but also deeply personal and philosophical. It reflects what one commentator calls “*the primordial nature of human beings*” in seeking the ultimate truth ²⁸ . Abraham’s turning away from one thing after another until settling on the transcendent Creator can be read as the soul’s innate quest (fitra) to find the Ultimate One behind the multiplicity of phenomena. The term ḥanīf itself is sometimes linked to the idea of an original, pure inclination in the human soul toward God. By following that inner compass, Abraham reaches a stage of spiritual development where his faith is both intellectually convinced and spiritually resolute. He has moved from observing outward signs to experiencing an inner certainty (yaqīn) bestowed by God. The Qur’an began the story by mentioning that God showed him the signs in the heavens and earth to strengthen his certainty ¹ ; by the end of verse 79, we see Abraham exhibiting that certainty, fearlessly proclaiming the truth even if it sets him apart from his entire community.

Before moving on, it is helpful to see the flow of verses 76–79 as a coherent unit: **Abraham starts with a tentative identification (“this is my Lord”), then expresses dislike as it sets; he repeats this with a bigger heavenly body; finally, he rejects them all and affirms the one unseen God**. This narrative progression dramatizes a transition from a *naïve* or *conceptually limited* monotheism (treating one created entity as “god”) to a *pure* and *mature* monotheism (recognizing only the true Creator as God). As one contemporary analysis summarizes, these verses “*signify Abraham’s capacity to reflect upon creation and recognize that none of it could be his creator. Thus Abraham demonstrates the utilization of reasoning to reach*

the conclusion of strict monotheism.” ²⁵ In short, the passage beautifully encapsulates how a believer might outgrow inadequate notions of God and come to an enlightened understanding of tawḥīd.

Psychological Stages of Spiritual Development

Abraham’s search for God in Qur’an 6:76–79 can be read as an allegory of spiritual development – a journey of the soul from confusion to clarity. Psychologically, the narrative resonates with the stages an individual believer might pass through on the way to a mature faith. Each “idol” Abraham examines (star, moon, sun) represents a progressively more refined object of devotion, yet each is ultimately found unworthy. This progression reflects how the human mind and heart can move from primitive or concrete ideas of the divine to increasingly abstract and purified conceptions, until finally apprehending God in truth.

In the first stage (the star), we see something akin to **childlike faith** or initial wonder. A star is small and distant – impressive to the inexperienced eye, but easily misunderstood. Many people’s first notions of God are similarly limited: imagining God as a finite entity or comparing God to a shining yet remote object. Abraham’s reaction when the star vanished – disappointment and rejection – mirrors the disillusionment that can occur when immature beliefs fail. The second stage (the moon) could symbolize a **growing intellect or intuition**: the moon is brighter and larger, providing a gentler light. One might compare this to a more developed theological idea of God – for instance, conceiving of God as the greatest being within the cosmos. It’s a step closer to the truth, yet still falls short if it confines God to the realm of created things. Abraham’s statement *“If my Lord doesn’t guide me, I might go astray”* at the moon’s setting hints at the seeker’s realization that their own efforts are insufficient without divine help. This is the dawning of humility and the awareness of one’s need for guidance in matters of ultimate truth.

The third stage (the sun) represents **the peak of created glory** – something undeniably grand, overwhelming in presence. Psychologically, this could correspond to the highest level of conceptual idol one might have: perhaps identifying God with the most powerful force one can observe (like the sun, or by analogy, nature as a whole, or a deified human authority). Abraham saying “this is greater!” acknowledges that each stage can feel more convincing than the last. Yet, when even the sun sets, the seeker finally grasps the inadequacy of all such proxies for God. For Abraham, this triggers a full break: *“O my people, I am free from all you associate.”* In an individual’s spiritual journey, this moment is akin to a profound **breakthrough** or conversion experience – rejecting former false attachments and turning completely to the transcendent God.

Sufi commentators and poets especially have viewed this story as a parable of the soul’s journey. Jalāluddīn Rūmī, for example, invokes Abraham’s words “I do not love the things that set” as a mantra for the seeker of God. He writes in a famed couplet: *“Like Khalīl (Abraham), enter the domain of certainty by proclaiming the password: ‘I do not love the vanishing.’”* ²⁹ . In Rūmī’s spiritual psychology, the moment one internalizes *“I love not the ephemeral”* is the moment one’s heart truly turns Godward. It marks the transition from loving the world (and whatever in creation one has deified in one’s mind) to loving the Eternal. Thus, Abraham’s journey can be seen as *every believer’s journey*: we each must identify and relinquish our “stars,” “moons,” and “suns” – those contingent things (whether literal idols, worldly ambitions, people, or ideologies) that we may consciously or unconsciously treat as ultimate. The end goal is to become a **ḥanīf**, one who directs their whole being toward God alone.

Modern developmental psychology of religion sometimes speaks of stages of faith (such as James Fowler’s theory), wherein earlier stages involve more concrete, externalized notions of the divine, and later stages

embrace increasing abstraction, personal conviction, and internalization of faith values. Abraham's progression in these verses can be mapped to such a schema: from an almost *magical* identification of God with a shiny object, to a *questioning* and *critical* stance (realizing contradictions), and finally to an *internalized, principled* faith in the unseen God. Crucially, Abraham's method is not sheer skepticism; it is a guided process of negation leading to affirmation. At each step his dissatisfaction grows, but so does his clarity. We witness the psychology of a seeker who uses reason and intuition hand in hand, and who is willing to break with the familiar once he sees the truth.

Another psychological aspect is Abraham's courage to stand apart from his society's belief system. In verse 78, he directly addresses his people ("O my people...") in renouncing their false gods. This indicates a social and familial break – a hard but often necessary step in spiritual individuation. A modern believer reading this may reflect on the personal journey required to find one's own faith, which might involve questioning inherited beliefs and facing misunderstanding or opposition. Abraham serves as a prototype of the *independent believer* who bases his faith on inner certainty rather than societal convention. The emotional tone moves from tentative (perhaps seeking belonging by saying "this is my Lord" alongside others) to emboldened and independent ("I am free from your associations"). The psychological journey here is one of growing autonomy in one's relationship with God, balanced with submission to the truth discovered.

In sum, the story of Abraham's search dramatizes the inner journey from **belief by imitation** to **belief by realization**. It validates the roles of doubt and critical thinking as stages along the path – Abraham has to confront his doubts about the star, moon, and sun in order to reach a rock-solid certainty in God. Far from condemning the process of questioning, the Qur'anic narrative honors it, showing that honest inquiry led by sincerity can be a staircase to stronger faith. For a believer today, this offers reassurance that having questions or feeling dissatisfied with immature conceptions of God is not a sin, but can be the beginning of deeper understanding. The key is to keep following the light of guidance, as Abraham did, until one's heart finds rest in the worship of the Eternal.

Philosophical Reflections: Sense Perception, Reason, and Certainty

This passage of the Qur'an is often highlighted by scholars for its philosophical implications. It presents, in narrative form, a fundamental epistemological lesson: **sense experience alone is not a sufficient guide to ultimate truth**. Abraham's initial impulse is driven by sensory observation – he *sees* a luminous star and attributes lordship to it. Yet each time, empirical observation also provides the counter-evidence (the setting of the star, moon, sun) that negates the initial hypothesis. The senses report both the rise and the fall of these celestial bodies; reason must then interpret these reports and conclude that the objects are transient. The story thus illustrates an interplay between **empiricism** and **rationalism** in arriving at knowledge of God. Abraham uses his eyes to observe and his mind to analyze.

One philosophical theme here is the **criterion of true divinity**. Implicitly, Abraham is employing a concept of God as **necessary, self-subsisting, and eternal** – a being that does not come and go. Anything that is **contingent** (dependent on time and external conditions) cannot be God. This aligns with classical philosophical definitions of God in Islamic theology: *wājib al-wujūd* (the Necessary Existent) who causes all else and is not caused or bounded. By observing the star, moon, and sun undergo motion and disappearance, Abraham recognizes them as contingent entities, each governed by laws or forces beyond itself. Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī, in his **Tafsīr al-Kabīr**, delves into the rational structure of Abraham's argument: if an entity is subject to **afl** (setting), it is mutable and governed, hence not divine. Only an uncaused cause,

which Rāzī identifies with the Creator, can qualify as *rabb* (Lord) in the ultimate sense. Abraham's reasoning here prefigures what later theologians and philosophers like Ibn Sīnā (Avicenna) would formalize in arguments for a necessary being. It's a simple empirical argument with profound metaphysical implications.

The narrative also touches on the **limits of sense-based perception**. The star looked dazzling at night, but Abraham did not yet perceive its smallness and distance; the sun dominated the sky, but he could not stare at it without being dazzled. Our senses can be awe-inspired or even deceived by appearances – bigger or brighter seems more godlike at first glance. Yet appearances shift. The Qur'an, through Abraham's story, is gently warning that what **seems** absolute to the naïve eye (like the all-consuming brightness of the sun at noon) is not absolute in reality. There is a higher truth behind appearances. In philosophical terms, the passage invites reflection on the difference between **phenomena** and **noumena** – the seen versus the unseen reality. Abraham moves from worshipping phenomena to realizing the noumenal source of all phenomena.

Another layer of philosophy here concerns **certainty (yaqīn)** and how one attains it. Abraham's journey can be viewed as a quest for certainty. In Qur'an 6:75, as mentioned, God's purpose in showing him the heavens and earth was *"that he might be of those who have sure faith."* The word used, **mūqinīn**, relates to yaqīn (certainty). Philosophers of religion ask: how do we become certain of God's existence and oneness? Abraham's method combined inductive reasoning (examining particular instances – star, moon, sun – to derive a general rule about divinity) and deductive reasoning (applying the rule that the eternal is greater than the transient). Ultimately, his certainty is not described as coming from a miraculous vision or blind faith, but through a process of elimination and insight guided by God. This suggests that in the Islamic view, faith and reason are allied in leading one to truth. As Muhammad Asad noted, the Qur'an puts *"stress on reason as a valid way to faith"* ³⁰, and Abraham's rational scrutiny of the natural world exemplifies that principle. By using his God-given intellect, Abraham reaches a state of inward conviction that is then confirmed and sealed by divine guidance.

One might also reflect on the role of **doubt** in this process. Each time Abraham says, "This is my Lord," one could interpret a degree of tentative doubt or experimentation in his voice (especially if read as "Could this be my Lord?"). His doubt is resolved not by a leap into irrationality, but by further evidence and reflection – when the object fails the test of permanence. Thus, rather than being opposed to faith, *skepticism* is here a tool that, when sincerely and properly applied, leads to a stronger faith. Islamic philosophers and theologians often distinguished between blameworthy doubt (the kind that is obstinate or due to whims) and praiseworthy doubt (the kind that motivates a deeper search for truth). Abraham demonstrates the latter. He is not doubting God per se; he is doubting **what is not God**. In doing so, he clears away false certainty (the assumption that something finite could be God) to make room for true certainty in the Infinite. This resonates with the philosophical method of **via negativa** – knowing the Real by knowing what He is not. Abraham negated one candidate after another for godhood, indirectly pointing to the true God who transcends those deficiencies (change, dependency, finitude).

The phrase "I do not love those that set" can be pondered philosophically as well. It suggests a value system: the mind intuitively prefers the enduring to the fleeting. As one medieval commentator put it succinctly, *"No intelligent person is satisfied by the transient"* ¹². Embedded in this simple statement is a teleological argument: our very dissatisfaction with impermanence hints that we seek something perfect and permanent (i.e. God). Later Islamic philosophy (for instance, in the works of al-Fārābī or Mulla Ṣadrā) often explored how the human intellect and soul naturally yearn for the Absolute and find rest only in the

Necessary Being. Abraham articulates that innate intellectual conscience: he “loves not” what is unworthy of ultimate love. In this sense, his journey is also about the philosophy of **ultimate value** – recognizing that only the Eternal is worthy of unconditional love and surrender.

Finally, from the perspective of philosophy of science or knowledge, one could say Abraham is performing a kind of **empirical falsification** of false gods. He sets up a hypothesis (“Maybe X is my Lord”) and then observes data (X disappears) that falsify the hypothesis. He moves to a better hypothesis, which again is tested and falsified, until he arrives at the theory that fits all observations: namely, that only a reality beyond these phenomena could be God. This almost scientific method in the Qur’an is remarkable for a text of divine revelation – it validates the use of observation and logic to ascend to theological truth. It shows that **revelation and reason** in Islam are not at odds; revelation here is actually *depicting* a rational process and endorsing its outcome. In summary, the story of Abraham’s search invites believers to use their intellect, to reflect on the signs in the natural world, and to rise beyond the immediately visible to grasp the invisible Sustainer who is indicated by those signs. It portrays the journey to certainty (yaqīn) as one that engages both the outward sight of the eyes and the inward “sight” of the mind and heart.

Theological Implications: Tawḥīd and Its Degrees of Realization

On a theological level, Qur’an 6:76–79 is a rich exposition of **tawḥīd**, the doctrine of God’s oneness. It not only affirms that there is only one true God, but also illustrates the process of **tahqīq al-tawḥīd** – realizing or actualizing tawḥīd in one’s understanding and worship. Abraham’s journey can be seen as moving through degrees of tawḥīd, from a flawed or incomplete monotheism to a pure and enlightened monotheism.

In the beginning, when Abraham says “this is my Lord” of the star, he is still within a monotheistic framework in the loose sense – he’s looking for *one* supreme being. However, it is a “**less pure**” **monotheism** because he mistakes a part of creation for the Creator. This resembles what Islamic theology would later deem **shirk** (association of partners with God) even if only one partner is assumed. For instance, someone might believe in one high God but still attribute divine power to something in creation (be it a star, an angel, a prophet, etc.); this is not pure tawḥīd. Abraham’s statements while observing the star, moon, and sun highlight that one can erroneously identify something finite as “lord” and thus fall short of true tawḥīd.

By the end, Abraham’s proclamation in verse 79 is the epitome of **pure tawḥīd**: he attributes creation of *all heavens and earth* to God alone, describes himself as ḥanīf (purely devoted), and explicitly distances himself from the polytheists. This final state reflects **tawḥīd in its full realization** – not just the idea that “God is one” as opposed to many, but that God has no rivals or comparables whatsoever, and nothing in creation can share in His divinity. It is the difference between *formal* monotheism and *actualized* monotheism. Abraham formally was a monotheist even when he was searching (he was seeking one lord at a time, not multiple), but he had to learn what truly distinguishes the One God from false claimants. Theologically, this underscores that **tawḥīd is qualitative, not just numerical**. It’s not simply believing in “one god” instead of many; it’s recognizing the *uniqueness* and *incomparability* of God (that He is uncreated, eternal, omnipotent, etc.) and thus rejecting any finite thing as a god.

The degrees of realization of tawḥīd can also be discussed in terms of **rubūbiyyah** (Lordship) and **ulūhiyyah** (Godhood). Abraham’s argument operates on recognizing *rubūbiyyah* – that the true Lord (Rabb) must be the sustainer and controller of all that exists, not controlled by anything else. He demonstrates that

the heavenly bodies are not rabb in that absolute sense, since they obey cycles set by a higher power ³¹ . When he turns to *Allah*, the Creator, he affirms the sole rubūbiyyah of Allah. In Islamic creed, affirming that only Allah has rubūbiyyah (control over the universe) is one aspect of tawḥīd. The next aspect is *ulūhiyyah* – that only Allah is worthy of worship. Abraham’s renunciation “I am free of what you associate [with Him]” ²⁰ and his self-description as “not one of the idolaters” make clear that he has negated any claim those creatures had to *ulūhiyyah* (godhood or worship). Thus, in his final stance, he demonstrates tawḥīd *al-ulūhiyyah* — he will worship only the one true God.

Classical scholars often point to Abraham as **Imām al-ḥunafā’** – the leader of the pure monotheists. The Qur’an frequently holds him up as an example of *pure tawḥīd*, especially over against later deviations. For instance, it reminds the Prophet Muhammad’s contemporaries that Abraham was neither Jew nor Christian but a ḥanīf (Qur’an 3:67), meaning his monotheism predated and transcended sectarian labels; it was the ur-monotheism. The story in Surah 6 is a key illustration of *how* Abraham arrived at that pristine faith. It shows that his tawḥīd was not a mere inheritance but was reached through trial and insight. Theologically, this implies that true understanding of tawḥīd requires reflection and sometimes a break from one’s surrounding culture’s assumptions. Abraham literally “faces” the idols and rejects them, then *faces* God alone. The word **wajh** (face/orientation) in “I have turned my face to Him who created the heavens and earth” also hints at the idea of directing all one’s being and devotion to God (a concept that later Islamic spirituality calls *tawajjuh*). It is a holistic tawḥīd, engaging mind, heart, and will.

Another subtle theological point here is about **God’s guidance versus human effort**. Abraham says, “Unless my Lord guides me, I will be among the astray.” This acknowledges that while humans must use their reason and will to seek God, ultimately tawḥīd is a light that God casts into the heart. The degrees of tawḥīd’s realization are tied to receiving divine guidance. The Qur’an suggests that because Abraham was sincere and searching, God *guided* him through these signs to certitude ¹⁷ . In Islamic theology, guidance (*hidāyah*) is a grace from God that builds upon human effort (Abraham’s earnest search). So one might say Abraham’s journey is a cooperative interaction of *‘aql* (intellect) and *hidāyah ilāhiyyah* (divine guidance). Theologically, this balances the roles of free will and predestination: Abraham chooses to seek and reject false gods, and God bestows the guidance that illuminates the truth of tawḥīd for him.

We also learn about the concept of “**shirk khafī**” or subtle association. While Abraham’s people engaged in obvious shirk (worshipping idols and stars), the narrative’s lessons apply to subtler forms of shirk that can linger even in outward monotheists. Many commentators have extended “*I do not love the setting ones*” to mean we should not attach our hearts to anything ephemeral – wealth, status, other people’s approval – for loving those as one should only love God is a hidden form of shirk. In this sense, tawḥīd has degrees in practice: one may profess *Lā ilāha illa’Llāh* (no god but God) but still rely on or fear other things as if they had independent power (a form of practical shirk). Abraham’s emphatic *barā’a* (disavowal) teaches that a muwaḥḥid (true monotheist) not only acknowledges God’s oneness intellectually but also casts off all inner idolatries and dependencies that conflict with total trust in God. Later Islamic tradition, especially in Sufism, would speak of *tawḥīd of the common* (simply saying God is one) versus *tawḥīd of the elect* (seeing only God as real and effective in all matters). Abraham’s final state in verse 79 – turning wholeheartedly to God and away from all others – is an early example of that perfected tawḥīd that the “elect” aspire to.

Finally, the **theological polemics** in this passage should be noted. The Qur’an was revealed in a milieu of pagan Arab polytheism, and this story of Abraham would directly undermine the worldview of the Prophet Muhammad’s contemporaries, who also worshipped celestial objects (like they venerated the sun deity, etc., in pre-Islamic Arabia) and idols. By invoking their claimed ancestor Abraham, the Qur’an not only legitimizes

Muhammad's monotheistic message as truly Abrahamic, but also challenges them: *If you claim Abraham, remember that he shattered the idols and refuted star-worship in his quest for al-Tawhīd*. The degrees of monotheism thus also distinguish **true Abrahamic faith** from degenerated forms. For example, some early interpreters mention that certain groups (like the Sabians or star-worshippers of Harran) might have alleged scriptural backing for astral worship – Abraham's story in the Qur'an decisively counters any notion that venerating stars or planets is acceptable in an Abrahamic tradition ³². He not only rejects it; he articulates why it is theologically absurd (because those things are created).

In summary, the theological significance of this passage is multi-fold: it defines what makes God *God* (uncreated permanence and creative power), it defines what *worship* means (loving and orienting oneself toward the Ultimate alone), and it dramatizes the creed of tawhīd as a lived stance of rejection and affirmation. It tells Muslims that mere nominal belief in one God is not enough; one must purge one's concept of God from all non-God elements and one's worship from all others. Abraham's *hanifiyyah* (pure monotheism) is thus both an intellectual clarity and an existential commitment. It is the kind of monotheism that can stand up and say to a whole society, "I am free of your false gods," and then face whatever consequences come (which, as other verses and Islamic lore tell us, Abraham did face – being cast into a fire by his people, for example). Such is the power of **tawhīd realized**.

Epilogue: Lessons for the Modern Believer's Journey

Abraham's search for God, as depicted in Qur'an 6:76–79, offers timeless guidance for anyone embarking on the personal journey of faith. In our modern context, the "idols" and "stars" people chase may not be statues or celestial deities, yet the underlying challenge remains the same: identifying and relinquishing our false objects of reliance in order to truly find and submit to God. Abraham's story encourages a spirit of inquiry and sincerity. He did not unthinkingly accept the beliefs of those around him; he observed, reflected, and even disputed – with himself and with his people – in order to discern truth from falsehood. For today's believer, this underscores that **faith need not fear questions**. Honest doubt can be the lever that pries us away from inadequate understandings of God and propels us towards a more profound conviction. As the contemporary scholar Muhammad Asad noted, Islam uplifts the use of reason in strengthening faith ³³, and Abraham's reasoning in this passage exemplifies that beautifully.

Another lesson is about the importance of **not settling for half-truths** in religion. Abraham could have stopped at the star and contented himself with a small lord, or at the moon and contented himself with a greater but still limited lord. But an innate drive pushed him onward. In an age where it is common to absolutize partial truths – whether scientific laws, or political ideologies, or charismatic leaders – Abraham's cry "*I love not the things that fade*" ¹² is a reminder not to absolutize the relative. Everything besides God is relative and will "fade" in one way or another. Modern believers can take this as a call to avoid making *any* created thing the center of one's existence. Wealth, power, nation, even technology or reason itself – all of these are luminaries that rise and set. They have their place, but if one orbits one's life entirely around them, one will inevitably be let down when they wane. Abraham teaches us to appreciate the lights in our life (much as he acknowledged, "this is bright, this is greater"), but not to worship them.

Importantly, the conclusion of Abraham's journey was an act of **commitment**. After he reasoned things out, he did not remain in indecision; he made a declaration of faith and separation from falsehood. In a similar vein, modern spiritual seekers often explore various philosophies and religions. While exploration is healthy, Abraham's example shows the need for a moment of decision where one "turns one's face" firmly to God. There is a time to seek and a time to submit. Abraham combined intellectual quest with ultimate

submission (hence he is considered a model **muslim**, one who submits to God). For a person today, this could mean that after investigating and finding conviction in God's oneness, one should *live out* that conviction boldly and ethically. It may involve making changes – abandoning practices or lifestyles incompatible with pure monotheism, much as Abraham physically left behind his people's rituals. It may also mean enduring some alienation or misunderstanding, as Abraham did, for going against the grain. Yet the narrative assures us that the end of this journey is **inner peace and security**. As the Qur'an continues in verses 80–82, God granted Abraham safety and guidance, and declares that those who attain faith without mixing it with wrongdoing are the ones truly secure ³⁴ .

Another modern resonance of this story lies in interfaith and philosophical dialogue. Abraham's method can speak to a pluralistic world: he didn't simply condemn his people; he engaged in a kind of dialectic, meeting them where they were (star, moon, sun) and leading them to a higher understanding. This suggests a compassionate strategy for believers today: when inviting others to faith or explaining tawḥīd, we might start by acknowledging the elements of truth or beauty in their current beliefs ("this is bright!") and then gently show the limitations ("but see, it is not ultimate"). It's a process of building on common ground and guiding by reason, rather than mere denunciation. This approach is both respectful and effective, as exemplified by the fact that Abraham was able to present "*Our argument*" in such a way that it left no rebuttal ¹⁹ .

For Muslims in particular, Abraham's story reinforces their connection to the patriarch of monotheism whom they honor as **Millat Ibrāhīm** (the creed of Abraham). The Qur'an repeatedly tells the Prophet Muhammad to follow the way of Abraham the ḥanīf (e.g. Qur'an 16:123). In a modern sense, "*following Abraham's way*" could mean cultivating both his clarity of belief and his purity of devotion. It means striving for a faith that is intellectually robust (not tainted by superstitions or irrational accretions) and spiritually pure (not compromised by worldly idols of the heart). The passage also implicitly invites believers to *observe the world* as Abraham did – to see the signs of God in nature. In an era of scientific advancement, one might say Abraham's observant eye prefigures a Quranic attitude that studying the cosmos can be a path to recognizing the Creator (provided one does not stop at the cosmos itself). The natural phenomena are **āyāt** (signs) that point beyond. The modern believer, therefore, can take both an empirical appreciation of the universe and a metaphysical intuition from Abraham: the more we learn about the stars and galaxies, the more awe we have for the One who fashioned them and set their courses.

In conclusion, the story of Abraham's quest for God is far more than a tale of a bygone era. It is a mirror in which we can see our own spiritual journey writ large. It challenges us to ask: *What "stars" am I enamored with that inevitably set? What evolving understanding of God do I need to pursue? Do I have the courage to break with convention for the sake of truth? And once I know the truth, will I turn my whole face toward it?* The passage assures us that if we seek sincerely and use both our heart and mind, God will guide us to certainty ¹⁷ . And when we do turn wholeheartedly to God, as Abraham did, we join the fraternity of the ḥunafā' – those upright ones in every age who, even if they stand seemingly alone under a vast night sky, are in reality under the care and guidance of the Lord of all lights. Abraham's journey, in the end, teaches that the destination of the seeker is *not* in the stars, but beyond them – in the loving presence of the One who **made** the stars and everything else besides.

Sources Cited: Classical exegesis by al-Baghawī ⁶ ⁸ (transmitted from al-Ṭabarī and others), Ibn Kathīr's *Tafsīr* ¹⁹ ²⁰ , and modern scholarly reflections ²⁵ ¹² have been integrated to support the analysis. The translation of Qur'anic verses and commentary by Muhammad Asad ²¹ and the *Study Quran* commentary ²⁵ provided contemporary insight. The narrative of Abraham's reasoning is further illuminated by Ghazālī's

wisdom ¹² and Rūmī's poetic couplet ²⁹ , showing the enduring impact of this Qur'anic passage on Islamic thought. Through these sources, we see a consistent message: the path of Abraham is one of intellect guided by faith, and faith illuminated by intellect, leading to the pure worship of the One God.

¹ ⁴ ⁵ ⁶ ⁷ ⁸ ⁹ ¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹³ ¹⁴ Ibrahim, the Stars, the Moon, and the Sun: Tafsir al-Baghawi | Tulayhah

<https://tulayhah.wordpress.com/2022/10/20/ibrahim-the-stars-the-moon-and-the-sun-tafsir-al-baghawi/>

² ³ ¹⁹ ²⁰ ²⁶ ²⁷ ³¹ ³² Surah Anam ayat 76 Tafsir Ibn Kathir | So when the night covered him [with
<https://surahquran.com/tafsir-english-aya-76-sora-6.html>

¹² ghazali.org

<https://www.ghazali.org/articles/19477119.pdf>

¹⁵ ¹⁶ ¹⁷ ²² ²³ ²⁹ Tafsir Surah Al-An'am - 76 - Quran.com

<https://quran.com/en/6:76/tafsirs/en-tafsir-maarif-ul-quran>

¹⁸ PROPHET NABI IBRAHIM KHALILULLAH (عليه السلام) - Facebook

<https://www.facebook.com/media/set/?set=a.928512317223319&s=15>

²¹ ³⁰ ³³ The Message of The Qur'an translated by Muhammad Asad

http://ebooks.rahnuma.org/religion/Muhammad_Asad/The%20Message%20of%20The%20Quran%20with%20footnotes.html

²⁴ ²⁵ ²⁸ ³⁴ Abraham: The Strict Monotheist | Rumi Forum

<https://rumiforum.org/abraham-the-strict-monotheist/>