

Mathematics, Abstract Objects, and the Mind of God: An Islamic and Christian Perspective

Introduction: Brian Leftow and Robert Lawrence Kuhn

Brian Leftow is a distinguished philosopher of religion known for his work in philosophical theology and metaphysics. Formerly the Nolloth Professor of the Philosophy of the Christian Religion at Oxford University, he now serves at Rutgers University. Leftow's research delves into the nature of God, divine necessity, and the relationship between God and abstract entities like time, logic, and mathematics ¹. In particular, he has explored how **abstract objects** (such as numbers, properties, or propositions) might exist relative to an all-powerful Creator.

Robert Lawrence Kuhn, by contrast, is a public intellectual and the creator-host of the PBS television series *Closer to Truth*. With a PhD in brain science and a passion for life's "big questions," Kuhn engages leading thinkers on topics of cosmology, consciousness, theology, and philosophy. He is known for probing experts on deep issues ranging from the origin of the universe to the nature of God. In Kuhn's interviews, he often raises thought-provoking problems in theology—questions that lie at the intersection of philosophy and faith. It is in one of these dialogues that Kuhn sat down with Brian Leftow to discuss God's relationship to abstract objects, notably the realm of mathematics.

Mathematics and Abstract Objects: Insights from Brian Leftow (Interview Summary)

In their *Closer to Truth* interview, Robert Kuhn poses a fundamental question: *"If God exists, did God create abstract objects such as mathematical truths and logical laws, or do these exist independently of God?"* Mathematics is a prime example of abstract objects – its truths (like $2+2=4$ or the properties of a triangle) seem timeless and unchanging. The conversation between Kuhn and Leftow centers on whether such eternal truths exist on their own or are grounded in the mind of God.

Leftow's Perspective: Brian Leftow responds by first acknowledging the *theological puzzle* at hand. Classical theism holds that God is the **creator of everything apart from Himself**, the sole ultimate reality. Yet abstract objects – numbers, sets, propositions, logical principles – appear to exist necessarily and uncaused. If these exist completely independently of God, it would seem to compromise God's status as the source of *all* reality. Leftow, a committed theist, finds it "theologically unacceptable" to affirm any uncreated, co-eternal entities alongside God ² ³. Citing the principle of "perfect being" theology, Leftow argues that God, as the greatest conceivable being, must be the **self-existent ground of all else that exists** ³. Were there autonomous mathematical objects outside God's creative power, God would no longer be the ultimate source. Indeed, Leftow notes, if *mathematical objects existed independently of God, then God would be the source of merely an infinitesimal part of what exists* ⁴. The vast realm of eternal numbers and sets would dwarf the created physical universe in scope, meaning that God's creative role would be marginal in the

total scheme of reality ⁴ . Such a scenario conflicts with the traditional notion of divine **aseity** (God's self-sufficiency and independence).

Leftow further observes that if anything exists outside God's control – say an abstract number that God did not create – it would limit God's **omnipotence**. An omnipotent creator should have the power to bring into being or annihilate all contingent things. But a truly independent abstract entity could neither be created nor destroyed by God, putting it beyond His sovereignty ⁵ ⁶ . This contradiction is unacceptable in classical theism. Pushing the logic even further, Leftow highlights a paradox identified by theologians: some of God's own attributes (like omniscience, omnipotence – the content of "Deity") would, under Platonism, be abstract properties existing apart from God. If "Deity" itself were an abstract object that God *instantiates*, then God would depend on something more fundamental than Himself – an impossible conclusion for the God of classical theism ⁷ . Taken to the extreme, pure Platonism about abstracta "*undoes*" theism by making God just one being among many in a universe of uncreated entities ⁸ ⁹ . Leftow agrees with earlier thinkers that this cannot be right: **God, if He exists, must be absolute – the source of all else – or else "God" is not truly God** ⁸ .

How, then, does Leftow resolve the tension? The interviewer Kuhn presses: Could these abstract truths perhaps be *part of* God or rooted in God, rather than free-floating? Here, Leftow leans on a view known as **divine conceptualism**. In essence, he proposes that abstract objects like mathematical truths are not external things that God finds in the universe; rather, they are **contents of the divine mind** ¹⁰ ¹¹ . When asked "Did God create mathematics, or is mathematics just *there*?", Leftow's answer is that God did not create eternal truths in time (since mathematical truths are necessary and do not come into being or pass away), but neither do they exist apart from Him. Instead, such truths exist *eternally as thoughts in God's intellect*. Leftow echoes a classical idea: "*eternal truths require an eternal knower*" ¹⁰ ¹² . In other words, mathematical propositions (e.g. the law of addition, geometric axioms) are *real* and eternal not on their own, but because an eternal **Mind** is thinking them. They are grounded in God's **nature** or **knowledge** rather than floating in a Platonic heaven. This view preserves God's supremacy (since all truths ultimately depend on God) and simultaneously accounts for the timeless validity of mathematics and logic.

Throughout the interview, Kuhn probes practical examples to clarify Leftow's stance. For instance, consider the statement that there are infinitely many prime numbers – was this true "before" any minds existed to consider it? Leftow responds by imagining a hypothetical: a universe with no created minds at all. In such a scenario, if even God's mind did not hold mathematical ideas, could "prime numbers are infinite" have any truth value? Leftow argues no – *truth* is a property of **propositions**, and propositions exist as bearers of meaning only *in minds* ¹³ ¹⁴ . A statement like " $2+2=4$ " means nothing in a world utterly devoid of intellect; it would not magically hang in space as a true fact with no thinker. "*Thoughts require a thinker; an equation requires a mind that understands it,*" Leftow explains ¹⁵ . Thus, rather than accept the baffling idea of **truths with no minds**, Leftow concludes that all truths – including mathematical ones – must subsist in a supreme Knower. God's mind is the locus in which these abstract principles live. In Leftow's own academic formulation, he seeks to "**replace abstract...ontology with one of divine mental events and powers**", making God *the truthmaker for all such propositions* ¹⁶ ¹ . For example, the laws of logic and mathematics hold because they reflect the structure of God's rational nature, or His consistent thinking. They are not arbitrary – God cannot make $2+2$ equal 5, for instance, because His own perfect intellect eternally knows $2+2=4$ – but neither are they independent of Him. In Leftow's words (as summarized by one commentator), his view is an "*anti-Platonist realism*" in which **mathematical objects are identified with divine thoughts**

By the end of the interview, a thematic picture emerges. Leftow has effectively sketched the **conceptualist solution**: mathematics is part of the fabric of God's eternal mind, not a rival eternal "realm" outside Him. This solution upholds both the eternity of mathematical truths and the sovereignty of God. As Kuhn notes, this idea harkens back to St. **Augustine's** teaching that the **Divine Mind contains the forms or eternal ideas** (a view Augustine himself advanced to counter Plato's notion of independent Forms). It also aligns with mainstream Christian theology through history ¹⁸. Leftow's stance resonates with other theologians featured on *Closer to Truth* – for example, William Lane Craig also affirms that "*uncreated mathematical objects do not and cannot exist*" apart from God ¹⁹. In summary, the interview underscores a key point: while mathematical truths seem timeless and necessary, the Christian theological perspective (as championed by Leftow) is that their **eternity is grounded in God's eternal intellect** rather than in a separate metaphysical plane. This preserves the doctrine that God is "*the sole ultimate reality*" ³, even over abstract objects.

Eternal Truth: Do Abstract Objects Exist Eternally or in the Mind of God?

The conversation between Kuhn and Leftow opens into a broader philosophical and theological discussion: *What is the ontological status of abstract objects like mathematical truths?* Are they eternal realities that exist without any mind, or do they only exist *in* a mind (especially the mind of God)? This question has long intrigued both philosophers (religious and secular) and theologians. In this section, we will explore the two main viewpoints – one that **denies independent eternal existence** to abstract objects, and one that locates their eternity in **God's consciousness** – with a focus on the Islamic perspective as well as the Christian insights that parallel it.

The Puzzle of Eternal Abstract Truths

Mathematics is often cited as a realm of **eternal truths**. Statements like $2+2=4$, the Pythagorean theorem, or "the number of primes is infinite" seem to be true in a way that does not depend on any particular place or time. Even if the physical universe were different, one imagines, these truths would still hold. This apparent timelessness and objectivity give rise to what philosophers call **mathematical Platonism** – the idea that mathematical entities (numbers, sets, geometrical forms) exist in an abstract, non-physical realm of being. Indeed, many mathematicians themselves speak as if they are *discovering* eternal truths that pre-exist "out there," rather than inventing arbitrary rules. In one survey of elite academics, roughly **75% of eminent mathematicians identified as Platonists**, meaning they feel that numbers, equations, and other mathematical entities exist in an immaterial realm of timeless truths ²⁰ ²¹. These professionals often describe their work as uncovering or "*communing*" with a non-physical world of forms via a kind of intuition ²¹. Paradoxically, however, many of the same mathematicians are not religious. Only about 14.6% of mathematicians in that survey affirmed belief in God (versus a still smaller fraction of biologists) ²². Thus we encounter the striking spectacle of brilliant minds who *reject* the idea of a divine Creator yet firmly *accept* the existence of a "mathematical heaven" populated by eternal, uncaused entities. They posit a kind of unseen eternity (of equations and numbers) while denying an eternal divine Mind ²³.

This split belief raises a critical philosophical question: **Can eternal truths exist on their own, without any eternal mind?** What does it mean for something like " $7 + 5 = 12$ " to be *true* even if no being is there to know it? If we imagine a possible world with no consciousness at all, does it contain facts like the sum of seven and five? The hardcore Platonist says "yes" – the truth would still obtain, just unobserved. But this notion of

“*unthought truths*” is deeply perplexing ²⁴. Truth, by definition, is a quality of *propositions*, which are meaningful statements. And meaning only arises for a **knower** who can grasp that meaning ²⁵. An equation written on paper is just ink and symbols unless a mind interprets it. So if literally *no mind* exists, in what sense can we say the equation *exists as a true statement*? To many philosophers of religion, saying “there are truths with no minds to think them” verges on contradiction ²⁵. It “*empties the concept of truth of any operational meaning*”, as one thinker put it ²⁵. We might summarize: **a thought with no thinker is nothing at all** ¹².

This puzzle is not merely abstract. It has bearing on theology: if one believes in God as the Creator of *everything*, can one allow a vast landscape of eternal truths existing completely apart from Him? The **Islamic** and **Christian** intellectual traditions both grapple with this issue. Both traditions, in their classical form, assert that God is *eternal* and that nothing apart from God is co-eternal and uncreated. The Qur’an, for example, repeatedly emphasizes that “*Allah is the First and the Last*” (Al-Ḥadīd 57:3) – nothing exists uncaused alongside Him. The Bible similarly begins, “*In the beginning, God created the heavens and the earth*” (Genesis 1:1), implying all else has origin in Him. How, then, to account for eternal truths like mathematics and logic? Are they exceptions, or are they somehow within God? We are faced with two broad possibilities:

1. **Nominalism/Anti-Realism:** Abstract objects (numbers, propositions, etc.) do *not* have any real existence at all apart from conceptual shorthand. They are not things; they are merely useful fictions or human constructions. In this view, saying “the equation $2+2=4$ is true” does not require a mystical Platonic realm – it is simply a way of speaking about concrete operations (like putting two apples with two apples yields four apples). If no minds existed, there would be no such thing as “ $2+2=4$ ” because abstract objects are *just ways minds talk* about the world. Some modern philosophers have indeed advocated versions of this view (fictionalism, conventionalism, neutralism, etc.) ²⁶ ²⁷. For a strict nominalist, **uncreated abstract objects don’t exist** – the whole question may be a false dilemma because only concrete realities are real ²⁶. This approach removes the threat to God’s uniqueness by outright denying the independent existence of math and logic. However, it faces the difficulty of explaining why mathematics works so powerfully if it’s *only* a human fiction. Why does “ $2+2=4$ ” – a product of human thought – hold true without exception in the physical universe? Why do the laws of physics so perfectly obey mathematical equations? The nominalist must chalk this up to coincidence or human bias, whereas many find it more plausible that math has some objective footing.
2. **Conceptualism/Divine Thoughts:** Abstract objects *do* exist in some sense and are indeed eternal truths – but they exist *in the mind of God* rather than as standalone entities. This is the position we saw Brian Leftow articulate, and it has a venerable pedigree in both Christian and Islamic thought. On this view, whenever we speak of a “necessary truth” (like a law of logic or arithmetic), we are ultimately referring to something in the intellect of an **eternal knower**. The truth is eternal because **God is eternal** and He knows it eternally ²⁸ ²⁹. But it is not a second eternal *thing* besides God. In philosophical terms, this stance upholds **realism** about truth (truths are real, not mere conventions) but rejects **Platonism** – it does not grant abstract objects an existence independent of the divine **Mind** ¹⁷. This solution is often called *divine conceptualism*. It maintains that what Plato called the realm of Forms is effectively **God’s knowledge** – an infinite, uncreated consciousness containing the perfect forms of all truths ¹⁰. As one classical philosopher argued, “*an eternal truth must be eternally thought, otherwise it is an eternal nothing.*” ¹² In other words, if a statement like “the sum of the angles of a triangle is 180°” is eternally true, there must be an eternal intellect thinking that

statement. Without an eternal mind, “eternal truths” would actually be *nothing*: mere blank possibilities never actualized in knowledge ¹² .

The **conceptualist** view satisfies theological concerns by ensuring God remains the **ontological foundation** for truth. Nothing is true *independently* of God; rather, truths subsist as divine thoughts or as an aspect of God’s immutable nature ³⁰ ¹⁷ . It also provides a compelling explanation for a long-standing mystery in science: the uncanny effectiveness of mathematics in describing reality. The Nobel-winning physicist Eugene Wigner famously marveled at “*the unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics*” in the natural sciences – a mystery for a secular worldview. But if mathematics is built into the universe by the divine Mind, its effectiveness is not so unreasonable after all. The world behaves mathematically because **the same Mind that formulated mathematical truth also formulated the physical cosmos** ³¹ . In short, **the Creator used mathematics as His blueprint**, which is why nature follows mathematical laws ³¹ .

Abstract Objects in an Eternal Consciousness: An Islamic Perspective

The idea that eternal truths reside in an eternal consciousness finds a particularly clear expression in **Islamic theology**. In Islam, God (Allah) is emphatically described as *Al-‘Alīm* (The All-Knowing) and *Al-Ḥakīm* (The Most Wise). His knowledge is all-encompassing and **eternal**, not subject to increase or change. The Qur’an teaches that God created the universe “**in truth**” and with precise order. For example, “*He created the heavens and the earth with truth (bil-ḥaqq)*” (Qur’an 29:44), and “*We did not create the heavens and earth and all that is between them in play... We created them only in truth*” (Qur’an 44:38–39). This implies that creation was a deliberate, law-governed act of intelligence, not a random accident ³² ³³ . In Islamic thought, the “truth” by which God creates is often understood to include the laws of nature, the principles of order – essentially the **mathematical and logical structure** underlying the cosmos.

The Qur’an further makes strikingly mathematical allusions: “*Indeed, We have created everything in precise measure (qadar)*” (54:49), and it speaks of the movements of the sun and moon “*according to calculation (bi-ḥisāb)*” (13:2, 55:5) ³⁴ . Another verse states, “*He has counted everything in numbers*” (72:28), suggesting that **enumeration and quantitative knowledge extend to the entire creation in God’s view** ³⁴ . Classical Islamic commentators explained such verses by saying that Allah’s knowledge is so absolute that He has numbered and measured every detail of the universe – its outcomes, laws, and events are all encompassed. Reality thus has a **mathematical structure because God conceived it so** ³⁵ . To put it plainly: in the Islamic perspective, every mathematical truth or physical constant is known and willed by God from eternity. The “realm” of mathematical forms that mathematicians sense is, in truth, the knowledge of Allah, who used those forms as the blueprint of creation ³⁶ .

Islamic scholars sometimes convey this idea through the metaphor of the *al-Lawḥ al-Maḥfūz*, or “**Preserved Tablet**.” This is a concept mentioned in the Qur’an (e.g., Qur’an 85:21–22) and elaborated in commentary: it is imagined as a heavenly tablet upon which God’s complete knowledge of all things is inscribed, from the grand laws of the cosmos down to the smallest detail. One might call it the divine *memory* or code of the universe. Modern Muslim thinkers have drawn an analogy between the mathematician’s Platonic “**heaven of forms**” and the Qur’anic Preserved Tablet. Both depict a trove of truths that transcends the physical world. However, there is a crucial difference: the **Preserved Tablet is not an independent Platonic realm but is within the dominion of God** – it symbolizes God’s own knowledge. As Dr. Zia Shah (a contemporary Islamic writer) notes, it is “*strikingly consonant*” that secular mathematicians feel they are discovering something transcendent, because in Islamic understanding, they are indeed uncovering **pre-existing truths stored in the mind of the All-Knowing Creator** ³⁷ ³⁸ . When a mathematician proves a new

theorem, we can imagine that he or she has **unlocked a piece of the divine code underlying reality** ³⁹ . What mathematicians call a “Platonic realm” of abstract entities is, from an Islamic viewpoint, **the knowledge of God** manifesting itself. In other words, the “mathematical heaven” that even non-religious mathematicians believe in *could actually be* the Mind of God by another name ⁴⁰ .

To illustrate this further: The Qur’an asserts that **God’s decree and knowledge precede the existence of creation**. For instance, it says that *nothing occurs, not even a leaf falling, except that it is in a clear record* (57:22) – again pointing to a comprehensive divine knowledge base. So, from the start, all mathematical structures and logical possibilities were “written” in that record (the Preserved Tablet). Human beings, through science and math, are gradually reading the record. We are, as some Muslim thinkers say, “tracing the thoughts of God” in the language of nature ⁴¹ ⁴² . The “miracle” that Wigner pointed out – that abstract mathematics devised in the human mind ends up accurately predicting how stars move or how electrons behave – ceases to be a mystery. It is **exactly what we expect if the same Mind is behind both the equations on the blackboard and the phenomena in the galaxy** ³¹ . The Qur’an invites humans to ponder this unity of mind and nature, calling the patterns in nature *āyāt* (signs) of divine wisdom ⁴¹ ⁴² .

It is fascinating that throughout history, many Western scientists and philosophers also intuitively linked mathematics with a divine mind. **Galileo Galilei** famously proclaimed that the universe “*is written in the language of mathematics*,” implying that God used mathematics to craft reality ⁴³ . **Sir Isaac Newton** viewed the orderly laws of nature as emanating from the will and constant supervision of God ⁴⁴ . The great mathematician **Leonhard Euler** explicitly argued that without God underpinning them, the truths of mathematics and logic could not be secure – he saw God as the guarantor of mathematical truth ⁴⁵ . In more recent times, the mathematician-logician **Kurt Gödel** was an outspoken Platonist about mathematics, yet he also believed in an ultimate reality beyond the physical and even in an afterlife where, as he imagined, the truths of mathematics would be fully revealed ⁴⁶ . These examples show that the alignment of eternal truth with a divine intellect has appealed to thinkers across cultural and religious lines ⁴⁷ ⁴⁸ .

However, the **Islamic worldview** articulates this alignment with special clarity. It flatly denies the existence of any eternal thing besides God – “*Allah is the First and the Last*” (57:3) – and equally firmly affirms that God’s knowledge and power undergird all realities, concrete and abstract. The Qur’an’s vision is a cosmos “**built with ḥisāb (calculation) and qadar (precise measure)**” under an omniscient Planner ⁴⁹ . In Islamic theology, therefore, **mathematics and logic are seen as reflecting the mind of God**. They are part of the divine *kalām* (Word or expression) that structures creation. This strongly conceptualist stance in Islam dovetails with the Christian conceptualism we saw in Brian Leftow’s argument. Both affirm: **abstract truths do not float in a void; they subsist in the Logos or the divine Consciousness**. The difference in emphasis is that Christianity (especially through figures like Augustine) formalized the doctrine of divine ideas historically, while Islam embeds the concept in its teachings on God’s knowledge and creative decree (without the intermediate notion of “divine ideas,” since Islam emphasizes nothing co-eternal with God except His own attributes). Yet, functionally, the views converge on the same point – the so-called Platonic realm is in fact *God’s thought*.

To sum up this perspective: **Abstract objects like mathematical truths are not self-subsisting eternal entities – they exist eternally in God**. They are grounded in the only thing that truly exists from eternity: an **eternal, conscious, and rational Being**. By this view, the statement “*uncreated abstract objects do not and cannot exist*” is true ¹⁹ – for nothing apart from God is uncreated. And simultaneously, the eternal truths we know and love *do* exist, as divine ideas. This harmonizes faith and reason, allowing a believer to embrace mathematics as glimpsing the thoughts of the Creator. The “**heaven of mathematics**” that a

secular mathematician senses is real, but it is populated by thoughts in the Divine Mind rather than by autonomous Forms in a Plato's heaven ⁴⁰ .

A Note on Christian Thought in Comparison

It is worth noting that the concept of abstract objects residing in God's mind is not only an Islamic insight but also a well-established theme in Christian theology. Early Church fathers like **Augustine of Hippo** taught that the *rationes aeternae* (eternal reasons or ideas) of all created things exist in the **Mind of God**. Augustine, influenced by Platonism, essentially "baptized" Plato's Forms by saying they were the thoughts of God – God's plan according to which He created the world. In the medieval period, **Thomas Aquinas** similarly held that God's intellect contains the *forms* of all things; creatures are realizations of divine ideas. Thus, the geometrical truths or the moral truths we recognize are reflections of God's intellect. Modern Christian philosophers like **Alvin Plantinga** and **William Lane Craig** have continued this line. Craig, for instance, emphasizes that for a Christian theist, **Platonism is unacceptable** – one cannot have uncreated, independent objects alongside God ⁵⁰ ³ . The preferred Christian solution historically has indeed been conceptualism: abstract objects are "*thoughts in the mind of God*", as Craig notes, which was "*the historic, mainstream Christian position*" ¹⁷ .

There is also a strand of Christian thought that entertains nominalism (that mathematical objects don't exist at all, even in God's mind, apart from concrete reality). For example, some Reformed theologians, wary of anything detracting from God's sovereignty, flirted with the idea that God can even decide the truths of logic and math (a form of *voluntarism*). But the mainstream view rejects such extreme voluntarism, recognizing that logical consistency and mathematical truth are rooted in God's *rational* nature, not arbitrary even for God. In effect, Christianity agrees with Islam that **God's nature is rational and truthful**, and therefore the structure of reality (including mathematics and logic) emanates from Him.

Both faiths ultimately affirm what Dr. Zia Shah concluded in his analysis: acknowledging the need for a **necessary Mind** to ground necessary truths effectively points us to God. As Shah wrote, realizing that mathematics depends on consciousness "*makes some ultimate consciousness a necessary existence, to make mathematics and the rest of reality possible,*" essentially replacing impersonal mathematical truth with an eternal Consciousness – "*which the Abrahamic faiths call God.*" ⁵¹ . In other words, the very existence of eternal, non-empirical truths is seen as **evidence of an eternal, non-empirical Knower** ⁵¹ . The convergence of Islamic and Christian thought here is notable: both traditions, drawing on their scriptures and philosophical reasoning, find that **eternal Truth points to the Eternal Mind of God**.

Epilogue

In closing, our exploration of "mathematics and abstract objects" has taken us from a modern interview back through centuries of theological reflection. What began as a technical question—"Did God create abstract objects, or do they exist independently?"—has led us to a profound synthesis: **eternal truths are a facet of the eternal God**. Mathematics, in its sublime purity, whispers to us of a Reality beyond matter. The timelessness and universality of abstract truths act as signs (āyāt) that direct the contemplative mind toward an ultimate, unifying Consciousness.

For a reader steeped in theology and philosophy, this conclusion is both intellectually satisfying and spiritually significant. It means that when we as humans engage in mathematical reasoning or admire the elegance of a logical proof, we are, in a sense, *thinking God's thoughts after Him*. In the Islamic tradition,

every discovery of order in the universe is an occasion to remember God's wisdom. In the Christian tradition, the Logos (Divine Word or Mind) "through whom all things were made" (John 1:3) is glimpsed whenever we encounter rational structure in creation. Both perspectives invite a kind of worshipful awe: the recognition that the very *logic* underpinning reality is an expression of the Divine.

The dialogue between Robert Kuhn and Brian Leftow illuminated how a Christian philosopher tackles the issue, insisting that God's supremacy allows no rivals – not even abstract numbers. By integrating that insight with the Islamic worldview, we painted a picture of an **eternally mindful Creator** who is the wellspring of all truth. This interfaith harmony on such a subtle question is remarkable: it shows that whether one reads the Gospel of John or the Qur'an, the message is that *In the beginning was the Word* (or *the Command*, or *the Knowledge*) – meaning, **Mind precedes and grounds all reality**.

In our increasingly secular age, many still find themselves, like the mathematicians in the survey, believing in a "heaven of numbers" while doubting God. This essay suggests that such a heaven need not float aimlessly in a philosophical void. It can be recognized as the **Mind of the One Who is First and Last**. The eternity we discern in mathematics is, ultimately, a reflection of the eternity of God's knowledge. Abstract objects, from this vantage, are not cold, distant entities; they are treasured **ideas in the Divine intellect**.

As we conclude, we stand in intellectual humility at the boundary of knowledge and mystery. The relationship between God and abstract objects has been debated for centuries and will likely inspire debate for centuries more. But for believers informed by reason (and reason informed by belief), there is a beautiful resolution in sight: **All truth is God's truth**. Eternal mathematics resides in eternal Mind. The "unreasonable effectiveness" of math becomes eminently reasonable, even expected, once we affirm that an **Omniscient Consciousness** underlies reality's fabric ³¹. And so, the ancient wisdom traditions join hands with modern philosophy of mathematics to declare: *the cosmos is intelligible because it is the product of Intelligence*.

In the end, whether one approaches as a Muslim, a Christian, or simply a seeker of wisdom, the message is harmonious. As the Qur'an puts it: *"He is the First and the Last, the Manifest and the Hidden, and He has full knowledge of all things"* (57:3). The first Truth and the final Truth are God. Mathematics, with its austere glory, is one of the many languages in which the mind of God is reflected in creation. Recognizing this grants us a deeper reverence for both our faith and our reason – for in exploring the eternal truths of logic and number, we are, perhaps, drawing just a little closer to the Eternal Truth of our Lord.

1 16 **Review Article: God and Necessity by Brian Leftow | Reasonable Faith**

<http://www.reasonablefaith.org/writings/popular./existence...of-god/review-article-god-and-necessity-by-brian-leftow>

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 11 17 18 19 26 27 50 **Are There Uncreated Abstract Objects? - The Good**

Book Blog - Biola University

<https://www.biola.edu/blogs/good-book-blog/2015/are-there-uncreated-abstract-objects>

10 12 13 14 15 20 21 22 23 24 25 28 29 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47

48 49 51 **Eternal Mathematics and Eternal Consciousness: A Philosophical and Islamic Perspective**

https://thequran.love/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/eternal-mathematics-and-eternal-consciousness_-a-philosophical-and-islamic-perspective.pdf

30 **[PDF] Brian Leftow, GOD AND NECESSITY**

<https://place.asburyseminary.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2223&context=faithandphilosophy>